Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/044,062	LEUENBERGER, DIRK			
Examiner	Art Unit	_		
Drew E Becker	1761			

	Drew E Becker	1761			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Drew E Becker</u> .	(3)				
(2) Ryan Dodge.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 30 August 2004.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e].			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: none.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)∐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The examiner called Mr I rejection of February 24, 2004. Mr Dodge indicated that no (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant contents.	Dodge inquiring whether a response had been made. ments which the examiner agroup of the amendments that wid.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	reed would render ould render the SUBSTANCE Control of THE SUBSTANCE CO	er the claims claims DF THE LICANT IS UMMARY		
	``	Belo			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required